PATENT COOPERATION TREATY



PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

A11 12								
Applicant's or agent's file reference C 2341 PCT	FOR FURTHER ACTION	ER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)						
International application No.	International filing date (day/n		iority date (day/month/yea	ar)				
PCT/EP2003/009981	09 September 2003 (09.	09.2003) 1	18 September 2002 (18.09.2002)				
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC C09K 7/06								
Applicant COGNIS DEUTSCHLAND GMBH & CO. KG								
This international preliminary examinant is transmitted to the applicant according to the according	nation report has been prepared	by this Internation	nal Praliminant Evanisis	- Andharita				
and is transmitted to the applicant ac	cording to Article 36.	oy and internation	aari remiinary Examinin	g Authority				
2. This REPORT consists of a total of	4 sheets, includin	g this cover sheet.						
This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).								
These annexes consist of a total	al of sheets.							
3. This report contains indications relati	ing to the following items:							
I Basis of the report								
II Priority				·				
III Non-establishment of	opinion with regard to novelty,	inventive step and	d industrial applicability					
IV Lack of unity of inver	ntion							
V Reasoned statement u	V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;							
VI Certain documents cit								
VII Certain defects in the	international application							
VIII Certain observations on the international application								
Date of submission of the demand	B							
		Date of completion of this report						
07 April 2004 (07.04.20	004)	17 Septem	ber 2004 (17.09.2004	4)				
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP	Authoriz	ed officer						
Facsimile No.	Telephor	Telephone No.						

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet) (July 1998)

Translation



International application No.

PCT/EP2003/009981

		of the report
1.	With	regard to the elements of the international application:*
	\boxtimes	the international application as originally filed
	\boxtimes	the description:
	-	nages 1.15
		pages, as originally filed, filed with the demand
		pages , filed with the letter of
	X	the claims:
	K	
		, as originarly fried
		, as amonded (together with any statement under Article 19
		, med while definant
		, fried with the letter or
	Ш	the drawings:
		pages, as originally filed
		pages, filed with the demand
		pages, filed with the letter of
i		he sequence listing part of the description:
		pages, as originally filed
		pages, filed with the demand
		pages, filed with the letter of,
		regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which iternational application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. e elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language which is: the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23.1(b)). the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)). the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule 55.2 and/ or 55.3).
3.	With prelin	regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international ninary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:
	H	contained in the international application in written form.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
	Щ	furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.
	Ц	furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.
		The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.
1	Ш	The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.
4.		The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:
•		the description, pages
	1	the claims, Nos.
	İ	the drawings, sheets/fig
j. [This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**
a	and 70	
* A	lny rej	placement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

international application No.

PCT/EP 03/09981

v.	Reasoned statement under Article 3 citations and explanations supporting		ovelty, inventive step or industrial applica	ability;
1.	Statement			
	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-26	YES
		Claims		NO NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims	1-26	YES
		Claims		NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-26	YES
		Claims		NO

2. Citations and explanations

In this report, reference is made to the following documents cited in the search report; the same numbering will be used throughout the procedure:

D1: WO 03/093392 A (PETROLEUM OIL & GAS CORP SOUTH AFRICA PR)

13 November 2003 (2003-11-13)

D2: US-A-5 869 434 (VON TAPAVICZA STEPHAN ET AL)

9 February 1999 (1999-02-09)

D3: EP-A-0 627 481 (ALBEMARLE CORP)
7 December 1994 (1994-12-07).

1. Novelty:

- 1.1 Since none of the documents cited in the search report discloses all the features of claim 1, said claim and also dependent claims 2 to 21 are considered to be novel.
- 1.2 Since none of the documents cited in the search report discloses all the features of claims 22-26, said claims are considered to be novel.

/ . . .

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

ternational application No.
PCT/EP 03/09981

2. Inventive step:

2.1 The prior art neither teaches nor suggests using a borehole treatment agent of the type in question in order to reduce the toxicity level of the oil phase in oil-based drilling fluids and, in consequence, claim 1 and dependent claims 2 to 26 are considered inventive.